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## The Good, The Bad and the Unusual <br> A Workshop on Complex Forensic Accounting <br> Applications

> The Good - A Romp with Executive Comp
> The Bad - Show Me the Money
> The Unusual - The Thickness of a Prison Wall
> The Good, Bad \& Unusual - Tarver
> The Good, Bad \& Unusual - Lucchesi

## The Good - A Romp with Executive Comp

> Husband is a senior executive at a public company
> Multiple lucrative executive comp \& retirement benefits
> Stock Options granted annually
> Marital vs. Separate Property
> Coverture Fraction
> I valued his employment-related assets and placed them in a Marital Balance Sheet

Husband v. Wife
Marital Balance Sheet 06.02.18


Total Investible Non-Retirement Assets to Wife

| Merrill Lynch - 1234 | 325,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Merrill Lynch - 2345 | 1,100,000 |
| Merrill Lynch - 3456 | 140,000 |
| Investment - MiscBrokers | 11,000 |
| Wife's E-Trade Acct | 2,000 |
| Wife's Private Acct (IRA??) | 7,000 |
| TD Ameritrade | 0 |
| ABC Stock Options-xx, xxx options all unvested | 0 |
| ABC Restricted Stock Grants-xxxx shares all unvested | 124,573 |
| Total | 1,709,573 |
| Annual Return @ 5\% | 85,479 |

Total Investible Retirement Assets to Wife
Wife's IRA ..... 125,000
Vanguard 401k ..... 448,135
Traditional Pension Benefit (TPB) ..... 0
Retirement Parity Pension Plan Traditional Pension Benefit (TPB) ..... 0
Portable Pension Account (PPA) - ABC Corp Employee's Pension ..... 0
Total 573,135
Annual Return @ 5\% ..... 28,657
Annual Return on Total Investible Assets114,135

| ABC Executive Comp Plans |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 31 / 2018 \\ & 6 / 15 / 2018 \end{aligned}$ | \$240.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exhibit B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABC Closing Share Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumed Trial Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report Date |  |  | 6/15/2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Last Date | Option |  |  |  |  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| Options Balance |  |  |  |  |  | Income per | Total | Fed Tax | Net Value | Days From | Days From | Days From |  |  | Marital Value to W \& H @ 50\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Income on | on Unvested <br> Shares <br> $37.0 \%$ |  | Grant Date | Grant Date | Trial | Present Value | Coverture Fraction |  |
| Grant | Option | Current |  | Vest | Options |  | Unvested Shares |  | on Unvested | to <br> Vest Date | to Trial Date | Date to Vest Date | 4.01\% |  |  |
| Date | Price | Balance | to Exercise | Date | Unvested | Option |  |  | Shares |  |  |  | (A) Using (D) | (C) / (B) | (E) $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{F}) \times 50 \%$ |
| 6/9/2014 | 140.00 | 1,405 | 6/9/24 | 7/15/18 | 1,400 | 100.00 | 140,000 | $(51,800)$ | 88,200 | 1,497 | 1,467 | 30 | 87,915 | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0} \%$ | 43,077 |
| 6/8/2015 | 175.00 | 2,335 | 6/8/25 | 7/15/18 | 1,200 | 65.00 | 78,000 | $(28,860)$ | 49,140 | 1,133 | 1,103 | 30 | 48,981 | 97.4\% | 23,842 |
|  |  |  |  | 7/15/19 | 1,200 | 65.00 | 78,000 | $(28,860)$ | 49,140 | 1,498 | 1,103 | 395 | 47,093 | 73.6\% | 17,338 |
| 6/6/2016 | 160.00 | 4,152 | 6/6/26 | 7/15/18 | 1,300 | 80.00 | 104,000 | $(38,480)$ | 65,520 | 769 | 739 | 30 | 65,309 | 96.1\% | 31,380 |
|  |  |  |  | 7/15/19 | 1,300 | 80.00 | 104,000 | $(38,480)$ | 65,520 | 1,134 | 739 | 395 | 62,791 | 65.2\% | 20,460 |
|  |  |  |  | 7/15/20 | 1,300 | 80.00 | 104,000 | $(38,480)$ | 65,520 | 1,500 | 739 | 761 | 60,363 | 49.3\% | 14,870 |
| 6/12/2017 | 195.00 | 4,930 | 6/12/27 | 7/15/18 | 1,100 | 45.00 | 49,500 | $(18,315)$ | 31,185 | 398 | 368 | 30 | 31,084 | 92.5\% | 14,371 |
|  |  |  |  | 7/15/19 | 1,100 | 45.00 | 49,500 | $(18,315)$ | 31,185 | 763 | 368 | 395 | 29,886 | 48.2\% | 7,207 |
|  |  |  |  | 7/15/20 | 1,100 | 45.00 | 49,500 | $(18,315)$ | 31,185 | 1,129 | 368 | 761 | 28,731 | 32.6\% | 4,682 |
|  |  |  |  | 7/15/21 | 1,100 | 45.00 | 49,500 | $(18,315)$ | 31,185 | 1,494 | 368 | 1,126 | 27,623 | 24.6\% | 3,402 |
|  |  | 12,822 |  |  | 12,100 |  | 806,000 | $(298,220)$ | 507,780 |  |  |  | 489,777 |  | 180,628 |

## ABC Corporation Long Term Incentive Program (LTI)

## Assumed Trial Da 6/15/2018

## Report Date 6/15/2018

|  |  |  |  |  |  | (A) |  |  |  | (E) | (F) | (G) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Payout | Grant | Vest | Total Income on Unvested | Fed Tax on Unvested Payout | Net Value on Unvested | Days From <br> Grant Date <br> to | Days From Grant Date to Trial | Days From Trial Date to | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present Value } \\ 6.01 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coverture <br> Fraction | Marital Value to W \& H @ 50\% |
| Program | Target ${ }^{1}$ | Date | Date | Payout | 37.0\% | Payout | Vest Date | Date | Vest Date | (A) Using (D) ${ }^{2}$ | (C) / (B) | (E) $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{F}) \times 50 \%$ |
| FY 2016 - FY 2018 | 200,000 | 6/1/15 | 5/31/18 | 200,000 | $(74,000)$ | 126,000 | 1,095 | 1,110 | 0 | 126,000 | 100.0\% | 63,000 |
| FY 2017 - FY 2019 | 250,000 | 6/1/16 | 5/31/19 | 250,000 | $(92,500)$ | 157,500 | 1,094 | 744 | 350 | 148,928 | 68.0\% | 50,641 |
| FY 2018 - FY 2020 | 250,000 | 6/1/17 | 5/31/20 | 250,000 | $(92,500)$ | 157,500 | 1,095 | 379 | 716 | 140,462 | 34.6\% | 24,308 |
|  | 700,000 |  |  | 700,000 | $(259,000)$ | 441,000 |  |  |  | 415,390 |  | 137,949 |

## Who Doesn't Love Explaining The Time Value of Money?

$$
P V=\sum_{k=1}^{n} C(1+i)^{-k}
$$



| Case Information | Participant Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Valuation | 6/4/2018 | Participant | Husband |
| Date of Preparation | 6/2/2018 | Sex | M |
| Date of Marriage | 6/1/1996 | Race | W |
| Years of Marriage | 22.01 | Date of Birth | 5/1/1967 |
|  |  | Age at Date of Valuation | 51.1 |
| Plan Information |  |  |  |
| Employer | ABC | Marital Portion Percentage ( | overture Fraction) |
| Plan Name | Traditional Pension Benefit (TPB) | Years of Marriage | 22.01 |
| Date of Hire | 7/1/1992 | Years of Service | 25.92 |
| Years of Service | 25.92 | Marital \% | 84.9\% |
| Monthly Retirement Benefit as of Valuation Date |  | \$2,826.67 | ABC Pension Account Summary dated 2/28/2018; Single Life Annuity |
| Retirement age used in this calculation |  | 65.0 |  |
| Life expectancy at valuation date ${ }^{1}$ |  | 29.9 |  |
| Number of years to retirement age (Deferral Period) |  | $\underline{13.9}$ |  |
| Years of life expectancy beyond retirement age (Payout Period) |  | d) 16.0 |  |
| Discount rate for Payout Period ${ }^{2}$ |  | 3.01\% |  |
| Adjustment for risk |  | 0.00\% |  |
| Net discount rate for Payout Period |  | 3.01\% |  |
| Present value of monthly benefits at retirement date ${ }^{3}$ |  | 430,292 | Using Payout Period |
| Discount rate for deferral period ${ }^{2}$ |  | 3.01\% |  |
| Present value of retirement benefits at valuation date ${ }^{3}$ |  | 284,931 | Using Deferral Period |
| Less estimated Federal Income Taxes ${ }^{4}$ |  | $(68,383)$ |  |
| Net After-tax |  | 216,548 |  |
| Marital portion percentage (Coverture Fraction) |  | 84.9\% |  |
| Marital value of retirement benefits at valuation (divorce) date |  |  |  |

## Separate Property Appreciation in TN

> TCA § 36-4-121 (b)(1)(B)(iii); The account balance, accrued benefit, or other value of vested and unvested pension benefits, vested and unvested stock option rights, retirement, and other fringe benefits accrued as a result of employment prior to the marriage, together with the appreciation of the value, shall be "separate property."

## Date of Marriage (DOM)

Balances as of $7 / 31 / 2017$

| Total | $\frac{\text { Separate }}{235,768}$ | $\frac{\text { Marital }}{866,018}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Totals-Separate \& Marital

| Statement | DOM Beginning Balance | Contribution* | Other <br> Transactions | Withdrawal/ Loan | Market <br> Gain/Loss | Market <br> Return on all Transactions | Total Gain/Loss | Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/1996 | 76,000 | 5,500 | 2,000 | 0 | 15,490 | 18.55\% | 22,990 | 98,990 |
| 12/31/19997 | 98,990 | 13,750 | 5,000 | 0 | 42,822 | 36.37\% | 61,572 | 160,562 |
| 12/31/1998 | 160,562 | 11,000 | 4,000 | 0 | 16,587 | 9.45\% | 31,587 | 192,149 |
| 12/31/1999 | 192,149 | 12,619 | 4,443 | 0 | 30,774 | 14.71\% | 47,836 | 239,985 |
| 12/31/2000 | 239,985 | 12,712 | 3,130 | 0 | $(22,162)$ | -8.66\% | $(6,319)$ | 233,666 |
| 12/31/2001 | 233,666 | 11,000 | 3,413 | 0 | $(23,274)$ | -9.38\% | $(8,861)$ | 224,805 |
| 12/31/2002 | 224,805 | 11,000 | 3,851 | 0 | $(43,650)$ | -18.21\% | $(28,798)$ | 196,006 |
| 12/31/2003 | 196,006 | 12,500 | 4,150 | 0 | 43,333 | 20.38\% | 59,983 | 255,989 |
| 12/31/2004 | 255,989 | 13,500 | 6,692 | 0 | 22,385 | 8.11\% | 42,577 | 298,566 |
| 12/31/2005 | 298,566 | 14,500 | 8,076 | 0 | 4,558 | 1.42\% | 27,133 | 325,699 |
| 12/31/2006 | 325,699 | 15,500 | 9,382 | 0 | 27,513 | 7.85\% | 52,395 | 378,095 |
| 12/31/2007 | 378,095 | 16,000 | 11,059 | 0 | 3,608 | 0.89\% | 30,667 | 408,762 |
| 12/31/2008 | 408,762 | 27,597 | 11,821 | 0 | $(110,231)$ | -24.60\% | $(70,813)$ | 337,948 |
| 12/31/2009 | 337,948 | 19,413 | 11,433 | 0 | 53,700 | 14.56\% | 84,546 | 422,494 |
| 12/31/2010 | 422,494 | 24,289 | 11,963 | 0 | 40,092 | 8.74\% | 76,344 | 498,838 |
| 12/31/2011 | 498,838 | 28,431 | 13,358 | 0 | 3,348 | 0.62\% | 45,136 | 543,975 |
| 12/31/2012 | 543,975 | 31,538 | 15,246 | 0 | 49,243 | 8.34\% | 96,026 | 640,001 |
| 12/31/2013 | 640,001 | 21,924 | 15,746 | 0 | 131,175 | 19.36\% | 168,844 | 808,845 |
| 12/31/2014 | 808,845 | 397 | 18,174 | 0 | 80,252 | 9.70\% | 98,823 | 907,668 |
| 12/31/2015 | 907,668 | 0 | 21,060 | 0 | $(19,650)$ | -2.12\% | 1,410 | 909,079 |
| 12/31/2016 | 909,079 | 0 | 10,162 | 0 | 85,120 | 9.26\% | 95,282 | 1,004,361 |
| 7/31/2017 | 1,004,361 | 0 | 424 | 0 | 97,002 | 9.65\% | 97,426 | 1,101,787 |
|  |  | 303,169 | 194,582 | 0 | 528,035 |  | 1,025,787 |  |


| Statement | DOM <br> Beginning <br> Balance | Contribution | Other <br> Transactions | Withdrawal/ Loan | Market Return | Market <br> Gain/Loss | Total Gain/Loss | Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/1996 | 76,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.55\% | 14,099 | 14,099 | 90,099 |
| 12/31/19997 | 90,099 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.37\% | 32,769 | 32,769 | 122,868 |
| 12/31/1998 | 122,868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.45\% | 11,608 | 11,608 | 134,476 |
| 12/31/1999 | 134,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.71\% | 19,781 | 19,781 | 154,257 |
| 12/31/2000 | 154,257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8.66\% | $(13,363)$ | $(13,363)$ | 140,894 |
| 12/31/2001 | 140,894 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9.38\% | $(13,218)$ | $(13,218)$ | 127,676 |
| 12/31/2002 | 127,676 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18.21\% | $(23,254)$ | $(23,254)$ | 104,422 |
| 12/31/2003 | 104,422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.38\% | 21,278 | 21,278 | 125,700 |
| 12/31/2004 | 125,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.11\% | 10,188 | 10,188 | 135,888 |
| 12/31/2005 | 135,888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.42\% | 1,928 | 1,928 | 137,816 |
| 12/31/2006 | 137,816 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.85\% | 10,816 | 10,816 | 148,632 |
| 12/31/2007 | 148,632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89\% | 1,324 | 1,324 | 149,956 |
| 12/31/2008 | 149,956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24.60\% | $(36,882)$ | $(36,882)$ | 113,074 |
| 12/31/2009 | 113,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.56\% | 16,465 | 16,465 | 129,538 |
| 12/31/2010 | 129,538 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.74\% | 11,321 | 11,321 | 140,859 |
| 12/31/2011 | 140,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.62\% | 872 | 872 | 141,732 |
| 12/31/2012 | 141,732 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.34\% | 11,814 | 11,814 | 153,546 |
| 12/31/2013 | 153,546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.36\% | 29,721 | 29,721 | 183,267 |
| 12/31/2014 | 183,267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.70\% | 17,775 | 17,775 | 201,042 |
| 12/31/2015 | 201,042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2.12\% | $(4,254)$ | $(4,254)$ | 196,789 |
| 12/31/2016 | 196,789 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.26\% | 18,222 | 18,222 | 215,011 |
| 7/31/2017 | 215,011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.65\% | 20,757 | 20,757 | 235,768 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 159,768 | 159,768 |  |


| Statement | DOM <br> Beginning <br> Balance | Contribution | Other <br> Transactions | Withdrawal/ <br> Loan | Market <br> Return | Market Gain/Loss | Total Gain/Loss | Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/1996 | 0 | 5,500 | 2,000 | 0 | 18.55\% | 1,391 | 8,891 | 8,891 |
| 12/31/19997 | 8,891 | 13,750 | 5,000 | 0 | 36.37\% | 10,053 | 28,803 | 37,694 |
| 12/31/1998 | 37,694 | 11,000 | 4,000 | 0 | 9.45\% | 4,979 | 19,979 | 57,673 |
| 12/31/1999 | 57,673 | 12,619 | 4,443 | 0 | 14.71\% | 10,993 | 28,055 | 85,728 |
| 12/31/2000 | 85,728 | 12,712 | 3,130 | 0 | -8.66\% | $(8,799)$ | 7,044 | 92,772 |
| 12/31/2001 | 92,772 | 11,000 | 3,413 | 0 | -9.38\% | $(10,056)$ | 4,357 | 97,129 |
| 12/31/2002 | 97,129 | 11,000 | 3,851 | 0 | -18.21\% | $(20,395)$ | $(5,544)$ | 91,585 |
| 12/31/2003 | 91,585 | 12,500 | 4,150 | 0 | 20.38\% | 22,055 | 38,705 | 130,289 |
| 12/31/2004 | 130,289 | 13,500 | 6,692 | 0 | 8.11\% | 12,197 | 32,389 | 162,678 |
| 12/31/2005 | 162,678 | 14,500 | 8,076 | 0 | 1.42\% | 2,629 | 25,205 | 187,883 |
| 12/31/2006 | 187,883 | 15,500 | 9,382 | 0 | 7.85\% | 16,698 | 41,580 | 229,462 |
| 12/31/2007 | 229,462 | 16,000 | 11,059 | 0 | 0.89\% | 2,285 | 29,344 | 258,806 |
| 12/31/2008 | 258,806 | 27,597 | 11,821 | 0 | -24.60\% | $(73,349)$ | $(33,931)$ | 224,875 |
| 12/31/2009 | 224,875 | 19,413 | 11,433 | 0 | 14.56\% | 37,235 | 68,081 | 292,956 |
| 12/31/2010 | 292,956 | 24,289 | 11,963 | 0 | 8.74\% | 28,771 | 65,023 | 357,979 |
| 12/31/2011 | 357,979 | 28,431 | 13,358 | 0 | 0.62\% | 2,476 | 44,264 | 402,243 |
| 12/31/2012 | 402,243 | 31,538 | 15,246 | 0 | 8.34\% | 37,429 | 84,212 | 486,455 |
| 12/31/2013 | 486,455 | 21,924 | 15,746 | 0 | 19.36\% | 101,453 | 139,123 | 625,578 |
| 12/31/2014 | 625,578 | 397 | 18,174 | 0 | 9.70\% | 62,476 | 81,048 | 706,626 |
| 12/31/2015 | 706,626 | 0 | 21,060 | 0 | -2.12\% | $(15,396)$ | 5,664 | 712,290 |
| 12/31/2016 | 712,290 | 0 | 10,162 | 0 | 9.26\% | 66,897 | 77,060 | 789,350 |
| 7/31/2017 | 789,350 | 0 | 424 | 0 | 9.65\% | 76,245 | 76,669 | 866,018 |
|  |  | 303,169 | 194,582 | 0 |  | 368,267 | 866,018 |  |

## The Bad - Show Me the Money

> Divorce
> Husband and wife team - auto body shop
> Guess what - they didn't claim all of their income!
> First time that week we heard that claim
> Even more astonishing - we had proof!
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## The Unusual - The Thickness of a Prison Wall

> "The difference between tax avoidance and tax evasion is the thickness of a prison wall" - Denis Healey
> Divorce-2nd worst case of tax fraud in my career
> Husband owned small financial services co. organized as a "C" Corporation
> Our client, Wife, claimed Husband ran personal expenses through the company
> First time that week we heard that claim

## Tax Avoidance or Tax Evasion?

> Husband issued himself a W-2 that averaged \$120,000
> Virtually every credit card charge was written off as business along with club dues, vacations, 3 to 4 personal mortgages, $\$ 400$ shirts, call girls
> Nothing clever - just blatant, deliberate and consistent tax fraud
> We showed his true income to be an average of $\$ 365 \mathrm{k}$ per year - he settled on $\$ 275 \mathrm{k}$ after my testimony

## Tax Avoidance or Tax Evasion?

> We fought tooth and nail to get a backup of QuickBooks (which we eventually received) and downloaded P\&L expense drill-down detail
> We had the ability in this case to contact the opposing lawyer directly with our doc and info requests

|  | Date | Num | Name | Memo | Explanation from Randy A. | Amount | Need Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $680 \cdot$ Miscellaneous Loan Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 01/05/2015 | WTH | Wells Fargo Home Mortgage |  |  | 3,284.36 | 3,284.36 |
| 3 | 01/06/2015 | WTH | Landmark Bank | 1980 |  | 0.20 |  |
| 4 | 01/13/2015 | 3736 | Crystal S****g |  |  | 750.00 | 750.00 |
| 5 | 01/13/2015 | 3742 | Kayla $\mathrm{N}^{* * * * * * \mathrm{t}}$ |  |  | 1,000.00 | 1,000.00 |
| 6 | 01/16/2015 | WTH | Paragon National Bank |  |  | 40,000.00 |  |
| 8 | 01/20/2015 | WTH | Landmark Bank | 2496 |  | 474.63 |  |
| 11 | 02/02/2015 | 3777 | Devin G. |  |  | 256.96 |  |
| 12 | 02/03/2015 | WTH | Wells Fargo Home Mortgage |  |  | 3,284.36 | 3,284.36 |
| 13 | 02/11/2015 | 3801 | Crystal S****g |  |  | 500.00 | 500.00 |
| 14 | 02/20/2015 | WTH | Landmark Bank | loan \#2496 |  | 474.63 |  |
| 16 | 02/27/2015 | 3818 | Loan Care Servicing |  |  | 743.04 |  |
| 17 | 02/27/2015 | 3803 | CPC |  |  | 150.00 | 150.00 |
| 18 | 03/02/2015 | WTH | Bank of America |  |  | 1,538.40 | 1,538.40 |
| 19 | 03/03/2015 | WTH | Wells Fargo Home Mortgage |  |  | 3,284.36 | 3,284.36 |
| 20 | 03/03/2015 | WTH | Randy A. | ask randy what name.....cant read on statement |  | 670.18 | 670.18 |
| 22 | 03/06/2015 | 3832 | We Do Painting \& Remodeling | repairs 1489 Pimberton Lane |  | 580.00 |  |
| 23 | 03/12/2015 | 3835 | Laura C. | refund |  | 900.00 | 900.00 |
| 25 | 04/01/2015 | WTH | Landmark Bank | loan 1980 |  | 755.72 |  |
| 26 | 04/02/2015 | WTH | Wells Fargo Home Mortgage |  |  | 3,284.36 | 3,284.36 |
| 27 | 04/17/2015 | 3891 | Crystal S****g |  |  | 1,000.00 | 1,000.00 |
| 28 | 04/20/2015 | WTH | Paragon National Bank |  |  | 1,157.64 |  |
| 29 | 04/20/2015 | WTH | Landmark Bank | loan 2496 |  | 474.63 |  |
| 33 | 05/04/2015 | WTH | Wells Fargo Home Mortgage |  |  | 3,284.36 | 3,284.36 |
| 34 | 05/05/2015 | 3894 | Crystal S****g |  |  | 1,000.00 | 1,000.00 |
| 35 | 05/07/2015 | 3921 | Keith E. |  |  | 150.00 | 150.00 |
| 37 | 05/20/2015 | WTH | Paragon National Bank |  |  | 1,157.64 |  |
| 39 | 05/22/2015 | 3944 | Courtney D. |  |  | 2,500.00 | 2,500.00 |
| 40 | 05/22/2015 | 3941 | Crystal S****g |  |  | 500.00 | 500.00 |
| 42 | 06/01/2015 | WTH | Synchrony Bank |  |  | 400.00 | 400.00 |
| 44 | 06/10/2015 | 3980 | Franklin American Mortgage Company |  |  | 60.00 |  |
| 45 | 06/19/2015 | 4001 | Kayla ${ }^{* * * * * * t}$ |  |  | 800.00 | 800.00 |
| 46 | 06/22/2015 | WTH | Paragon National Bank |  |  | 1,157.64 |  |
| 47 | 06/25/2015 | 4025 | Amy G**** |  |  | 1,000.00 | 1,000.00 |

As of May 6: Annualized

Attorneys Fees
Automobile
Charity (Non Deductible)
Cleaning
Credit Card Expense
Dues
Dues (Non Deductible)

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 500 | 0 | 0 | 4,600 | 4,000 | 6,250 | 6,831 | 20,494 |
| 2,115 | 3,999 | 15,521 | 6,891 | 6,761 | 9,066 | 450 | 1,350 |
| 634 | 334 | 1,455 | 300 | 1,610 | 4,680 | 250 | 750 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12,758 | 5,400 | 6,500 | 21,235 | 18,600 | 20,741 | 500 | 1,500 |
| 0 | 3,716 | 0 | 800 | 6,173 | 10,388 | 3,683 | 11,048 |
| 4,044 | 2,571 | 1,161 | 3,818 | 0 | 7,046 | 580 | 1,741 |
| 1,364 | 920 | 9,641 | 2,976 | 34,757 | 13,193 | 627 | 1,881 |
| 0 | 4,710 | 18,999 | 25,823 | 12,172 | 14,990 | 3,818 | 11,455 |
| 459 | 459 | 230 | 3,544 | 2,657 | 7,116 | 237 | 712 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44,794 | 33,083 | 5,292 | 15,875 |
| 1,500 | 9,218 | 14,355 | 37,282 | 23,911 | 2,027 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 12,365 | 32,225 | 58,782 | 68,992 | 20,570 | 61,709 |
| 14,299 | 15,086 | 12,653 | 23,592 | 26,390 | 29,802 | 5,511 | 16,532 |
| 14,641 | 18,928 | 19,677 | 13,915 | 15,125 | 13,701 | 4,070 | 12,209 |
| 14,068 | 8,767 | 15,167 | 5,840 | 12,384 | 9,751 | 13,119 | 39,356 |
| 0 | 2,704 | 0 | 1,200 | 5,800 | 10,200 | 0 | 0 |
| 3,900 | 3,200 | 1,000 | 4,000 | 300 | 600 | 0 | 0 |
| 70,282 | 80,012 | 128,724 | 188,041 | 274,215 | 261,625 | 65,537 | 196,612 |

W-2 Income Claimed ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$

| 110,000 | 123,500 | 130,500 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 33,900 | 101,700 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Potential Actual Income | 180,282 | 203,512 | 259,224 | 308,041 | 394,215 | 381,625 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

298,312

Average of 2012-2015
335,776
Average of 2014 \& 2015
387,920
Rounded Down - Figure Used for H's Income

## Common Theme

> Small business owners run personal expenses through the company - Really?
> Get the QuickBooks backup file, user name and password
> QuickBooks supposedly enjoys an $80 \%$ market share in 2018
> Always ask for it whether you know they use QB or not odds are they do

## The Good Bad \& Unusual - Tarver

"This appeal involves a unique divorce proceeding."
> Major Issues:
> Was Husband offered incentives by his father to return to work which could make any benefits he received marital?
> Did Husband own $10 \%$ of his father's company?
> Did Husband own 50\% of the land AND building that his father titled as joint tenants with the right of survivorship, and was it "early inheritance" and therefore separate?
> What was Husband's true income for support?

## The Good Bad \& Unusual - Tarver

> John Kirk Tarver is the Husband and worked for his father John Taylor Tarver ("Grandfather") at Shelby Railroad
> Husband was fired and/or left many times over the years; in 2005 he leaves, but Grandfather induces him to return by "putting everything on the table" and if Husband did not return to work at Shelby Grandfather would not continue the business

## No Incentives?

> Wife and Husband had a discussion before Husband agreed to return to work to demand an ownership interest in either the company or the real property before he would agree to return
> Husband and Grandfather strongly deny that Husband was offered any incentive for returning to work
> Grandfather was embroiled in an eminent domain issue that was to produce $\$ 3,000,000$ but force a move
> Land was acquired and Husband's name was placed on the deed with Grandfather as joint tenants with the right of survivorship

## Rent Replaces Salary \& Bonus

$>$ In 2010, Shelby began conducting operations from the newly constructed buildings; although there was no written lease, Shelby began paying "rent" to Grandfather and Husband which was substituted for his usual bonuses
> In 2010 Husband and Grandfather were paid rent of \$130,000 per year; 2011, 2012, and 2013 Husband and Grandfather were paid rent of \$180,000 per year
> Thousands of dollars in rent was paid to Husband, but he claims he is not an owner of the land or buildings-Hmmm
> His CPA admitted on the stand that you can't claim rent or depreciate a property on your tax return that you don't own

## Rent Replaces Salary \& Bonus

> Husband was also paid an annual salary and received over \$250,000 per year from Shelby in 2011, 2012, and 2013 classified as a combination of rent and salary payments
> Shelby also either paid directly or reimbursed Husband and Wife for numerous personal expenses, such as the property taxes on their marital residence, uncovered medical expenses, family dining expenses, groceries, clothing, furniture, and personal travel
> Shelby also provided Husband and Wife with vehicles for personal use and paid for all expenses

## Spigot Was Turned Off

> None of the personal and vehicle expenses paid by Shelby were taxed to Husband
> Wife files and Grandfather reduced rent to $\$ 2,400$ and Husband only received his base salary of $\$ 80,000$ with no bonuses and the personal expense spigot was turned off
> Grandfather then took a \$300,000 "bonus" from Shelby and "loaned" money to Husband which he attempted to claim as a marital debt

## Rent and Officer Compensation per Income Tax Forms

## Husband

Total Compensation to H (W-2)
Total Rent to H (Sched E, form 1040)

| 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80,000 | 79,500 | 76,500 | 79,500 | 83,000 | 84,000 |
| 130,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 2,400 |
| 210,000 | 259,500 | 256,500 | 259,500 | 83,000 | 86,400 |

Father*
Total Compensation to F (W-2)
Total Rent to F (Sched E, form 1040)

| 78,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | Unknown | Unknown |
| 208,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 300,000 | 0 |

Totals

| Total Officer Compensation per Form 1120 | 158,000 | 79,500 | 76,500 | 79,500 | 383,000 | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Rent per Form 1120 | 304,800 | 364,800 | 364,800 | 364,800 | 7,549 | Unknown |
| Total Paid to Owner/Officers | 462,800 | 444,300 | 441,300 | 444,300 | 390,549 | 0 |

* F's 1040 for 2010 and 2012 are the only ones avaialble. The other years are assumed to be as reported.
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## Company Ownership

> Trial court found that although some records indicated that Husband owned a 10\% interest in Shelby, other records stated that he did not, and the weight of the evidence suggested that he did not
> The Trial court ruled no ownership


[^0]
## I Gave Him a Future Inheritance of the Land but

## Not the Building

> Grandfather acknowledged that Husband had an ownership interest in the land, adding, "I gave him 50 percent of the property" but not the building constructed on it
> Both Husband and Grandfather flatly denied that Husband's return to work at Shelby had anything to do with his name being placed on the deed and had no negotiations whatsoever about Husband's return to work
> They were attempting to establish a gift or "future inheritance" rather then sweat equity

## Nothing to See Here

> On appeal, Husband argues that Grandfather only intended to make a gift to Husband of an interest in the underlying land, not the building
> Husband received $1 / 2$ of the rent from the property and its buildings, and he claimed $1 / 2$ of the depreciation for the buildings on his tax returns
> Trial court found that Husband was a joint owner of the real property and buildings, owning "a half interest" and that Husband acquired his ownership interest in the real property as an incentive to return to work for Shelby; therefore, marital property

## Imputed Income

> Trial court imputed income to both Husband and Wife for purposes of alimony and child support and set Husband's income $\$ 188,488$ which was close to what I opined
> Trial court noted that Husband's expert had "no major issues" with the calculations made by Wife's expert (me!)
> Appellate court found no error

| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Commuting distance roundtrip from Marital residence to Shelby

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 miles per day x 5 days per week x 50 weeks | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 |
| IRS Mileage rate | $\$ 0.505$ | $\$ 0.550$ | $\$ 0.500$ | $\$ 0.555$ | $\$ 0.555$ | $\$ 0.565$ | $\$ 0.560$ | $\$ 0.575$ |
|  | $\$ 6,313$ | $\$ 6,875$ | $\$ 6,250$ | $\$ 6,938$ | $\$ 6,938$ | $\$ 7,063$ | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 7,188$ |

* Note that this does not include any vehicle(s) driven by Wife.

Medical Expense Reimbursement-estimates derived from statistics; BLS Table 2301. Higher income before taxes: Annual expenditure means, shares, standard errors, and coefficients of variation, Consumer Expenditure Survey, 2014.

Households averaging 3.2 persons, income \$150k+
Table 2301 Mean Income Before Taxes
Table 2301 Mean Healthcare Costs
\% of Healthcare to Income

|  | 2008 |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income Before Adding Medical Reimbursement | $\$ 215,389$ | $\$ 215,951$ | $\$ 233,532$ | $\$ 299,602$ | $\$ 318,733$ | $\$ 320,784$ | $\$ 138,967$ | $\$ 91,764$ |
| \% of Healthcare to Income | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ |
| Estimated Medical Reimbursement | $\$ 7,306$ | $\$ 7,325$ | $\$ 7,922$ | $\$ 10,163$ | $\$ 10,812$ | $\$ 10,881$ | $\$ 4,714$ | $\$ 3,113$ |
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|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3 Year <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Husband's Base Compensation (W-2) | \$0 | \$0 | \$79,500 | \$79,500 | \$76,500 | \$79,500 | \$83,000 | \$78,000 | \$78,500 |
| Rent - 3021 Shelby Drive | 0 | 0 | 130,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 2,400 | 180,000 |
| Interest on CDs |  |  | 7,688 | 23,070 | 45,201 | 44,723 | 44,791 | Undtrmnd | 44,905 |
| Husband's Base Compensation (W-2) | 205,500 | 205,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments by SRS on Husband's Behalf: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Expenses-Credit card | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 | 3,576 |
| Property Taxes on Marital Residence |  |  | 5,918 | 5,918 | 5,918 | 5,322 | Undtrmnd | Undtrmnd | 5,719 |
| AT\&T Cellphone Expenses | Undtrmnd | Undtrmnd | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Personal Use of Company Vehicle | 6,313 | 6,875 | 6,250 | 6,938 | 6,938 | 7,063 | 7,000 | 7,188 | 6,979 |
| Medical Expense Reimbursement | 7,306 | 7,325 | 7,922 | 10,163 | 10,812 | 10,881 | 4,714 | 3,113 | 10,619 |
|  | \$222,695 | \$223,276 | \$241,454 | \$309,764 | \$329,544 | \$331,665 | \$143,681 | \$94,876 | \$330,898 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$27,575 |
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## Calculation of Husband's Income for Child Support and Alimony (Excludes Interest Income) Exhibit B (REVISED)

|  | Notes | Scenario 1 | Notes | Scenario 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Husband's Base Compensation (W-2) | (1) | \$78,500 | (1) | \$78,500 |
| Rent 3021 - Shelby Drive | (1) | 180,000 | (3) | 110,965 |
| Payments by SRS on Husband's Behalf: |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Expenses-Credit card | (2) | 3,576 | (2) | 3,576 |
| Property Taxes on Marital Residence | (1) | 5,719 | (1) | 5,719 |
| AT\&T Cellphone Expenses | (1) | 600 | (1) | 600 |
| Personal Use of Company Vehicle | (1) | 6,979 | (1) | 6,979 |
| Medical Expense Reimbursement | (1) | 10,619 | (1) | 10,619 |
| Total Annual Income |  | \$285,993 |  | \$216,958 |
| Monthly |  | \$23,833 |  | \$18,080 |
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## The Good Bad \& Unusual - Lucchesi

> Major Issues:
> Value of Family Business at Date of Marriage
> Husband's True Income for Support Purposes
> Husband’s Failure to Preserve the Marital Estate (similar to Dissipation)
> Using Appraisals Made by the County Tax Assessor
$>\$ 950,000$ of assets in the division that were undisclosed by Husband that we found

## The Good Bad \& Unusual - Lucchesi

> Wife age 54; Husband age 62
> Married late in 1994; almost 22 years through 2016
> Husband's family sold local business ("Family Business") for $\$ 11 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2012; Husband was $1 / 3$ owner and netted $\$ 3.7 \mathrm{~m}$ in proceeds
> Husband "invested" in many assets after the sale with the proceeds (i.e., claimed these were purchased with separate assets); many were rental properties that were not rented

## "Germane to the resolution of the issues"

> "Husband and some of his witnesses lack credibility"
"Husband has been evasive and less than truthful under oath on multiple occasions"
> "Husband failed to disclose marital assets in other responses to discovery"
> "Husband's antics throughout the trial in this matter caused this court to also question Husband's veracity"
"Husband frequently had vocal outbursts and made hand gestures to witnesses, including Wife during her testimony"
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## Sent to Timeout

> "This court and counsel for Husband frequently admonished Husband that his behavior was unacceptable in the courtroom, causing the court on one occasion to require Husband to step into the hallway for a period of approximately 15 minutes."
"At one point during Wife's testimony, this court ordered Husband to remove himself from the line of sight of Wife as she testified, required him to sit by the court's clerk for a period of approximately one (1) hour."

## Value of Family Business at Date of Marriage

> Parties stipulated that stock was Husband’s separate property at DOM
> Husband and 2 brothers ran the company; he was operations and financial manager and claimed to be a product expert, but, he claims he had little to do with the appreciation in value from DOM to Date of Sale in 2012
> He said all appreciation was market forces due to a brand of product they were allowed to carry
> Proof showed otherwise

## Value of Family Business at Date of Marriage

$>7$ family members owned 100\% of stock in 1994 \& 1996
$>$ Family business was losing \$ and in a lot of debt in 1996
> We needed to establish the value at or near the date of marriage
> Buried in the dustbin of company records, we found:
> 3 siblings sold out in 1996 under a Stock Purchase Agreement for $\$ 726.39 /$ share implying total co. value of $\$ 735,000$
> The company repurchased stock and owed the debt back

| Before Buy-Out | Shares | Year Sold | Sale Price | Per Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aunt | 150.00 | 1997 | \$160,000 | \$1,066.67 |
| Brother 1 | 300.00 |  |  |  |
| Brother 2 | 100.00 |  |  |  |
| Husband | 100.00 |  |  |  |
| Sister 1 | 100.00 | 1996 | \$75,000 | \$750.00 |
| Sister 2 | 100.00 | 1996 | \$75,000 | \$750.00 |
| Brother 3 | 100.00 | 1996 | \$75,000 | \$750.00 |
| Total | 950.00 |  |  |  |


| After Buy-Out in 1996 | Shares | Per Share | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aunt | 0.00 |  |  |
| Brother 1 | 100.00 | \$750.00 | \$75,000 |
| Brother 2 | 100.00 | \$750.00 | \$75,000 |
| Husband | 100.00 | \$750.00 | \$75,000 |
| Sister 1 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Sister 2 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Brother 3 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Total | 300.00 |  | \$225,000 |

NACVA and the CTI's
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$\cdot 1 \cdot$ Q. . • Okay. And do you recall when y'all did that?
-2• •A. • ' '96.-3• -Q.• • Okay. And do you recall how much you all
4. . paid for that?
5. A. . . Seventy-five thousand.
-6. $\mathrm{Q} . \cdot \cdot$ Okay.
7• •A. . . A person.
$\cdot 8 \cdot$ Q.. • Okay. Was that an arm's length transaction?
9. •A. . . What do you mean?
$10 \cdot$ Q. . • Meaning did you pay them and did they receive
11• • fair value for their respective ownership interest?
12• •A. • • Well, we didn't pay them out. . It was paid
13. . within the company over time.
14. $\cdot$ Q. • • Yes, sir. Did that represent what you and
15. . they thought to be a fair value for their ownership
16. •interest at that time?17. •A. • • I mean, I don't think that's how they looked
18. . at it.
19••Q.․ • You don't think they thought it was fair?
20••A.•• No. I mean, I -- that's what they wanted, so
21. . we were fine with that.
$22 \cdot$ Q. . • Thank you.
23. • . . •I'm sorry. If I misunderstand or misspeak,
24. . you correct me.
25• •A. • •No, no. Okay.

## Value of Family Business at Date of Marriage

> For the 1994 value, Husband's expert produced a Calculation Engagement which he had never done before
> Applied the 2012 transaction multiples from the sale to the financials from 1994 (18 years in the past) which he had never done before either
> Price to Revenues, Price to GP and Price to EBITDA
> He opined on a range of values from $\$ 4.7 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{~m}$
> Admitted on stand that the 1996 transaction was an "actual transaction" at arm's length and that an actual transaction is a good indicator of value
> He was a good witness for our side
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## Trial Court Rulings

> "Under the market approach, transactions within the company's own stock or owner interest can be used to determine a company's common equity value."
> "It is clear that both Husband and Wife substantially contributed to the preservation and appreciation of Husband's interest in [Family Business]."
> "This court finds it incredible that the siblings would agree to accept $\$ 75,000$ for shares that would realistically have been worth close to $\$ 500,000$ based upon [opposing expert's] calculations."

## Trial Court Rulings

> Judge values the stock at DOM at \$75k (my opinion)
> Court found that stock appreciated in value by $\$ 3.7 \mathrm{~m}$ less the $\$ 75 \mathrm{k}$; and it was all marital
> Huge ruling in our favor as virtually all of the property purchased by Husband with the sale proceeds was marital
> Appellate court found no error

## Husband's True Income for Support Purposes

> Husband produced draft, unfiled tax returns; we proved he left off hundreds of thousands of dollars of income from these drafts
> Husband turned down employment paying \$100k from the Family Business buyer, but his 2 brothers took similar paying positions with the buyer and still work there; Husband said he could make more as a venture capitalist
> We produced analysis showing income to be $\$ 17 \mathrm{k}$ per month; Trial Court ruled he had income of $\$ 27 \mathrm{k}$ per month
> Husband did not appeal this ruling
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## Husband's Failure to Preserve the Marital Estate

> Based on the Income \& Expenses affidavit filed by Husband only $\$ 400$ per month was allocated for groceries and dining out which would amount to $\$ 12,000$ during the thirty month period from December 2013 through May 2016
> From our extrapolated calculation, we estimated Husband spent $\$ 20,962$ at restaurants, $\$ 7,606$ at bars, $\$ 16,372$ at liquor stores and $\$ 3,022$ at grocery stores for a total of \$47,962
> In our opinion, Husband failed to preserve the marital estate in the amount of $\$ 35,962$ ( $\$ 47,962-\$ 12,000)$

## Husband's Failure to Preserve the Marital Estate

## Excessive Spending Analysis

| Statements Provided | Restaurants | Bars | Liquor Stores | Walgreens | Grocery <br> Stores | Gas | Memphis Grizzlies | Misc. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/12/2014 | \$765.55 | \$2,137.84 | \$542.72 | \$936.76 | \$0.00 | \$218.36 | \$0.00 | \$118.81 | \$4,720.04 |
| 4/27/2014 | 882.92 | 481.15 | 630.03 | 400.00 | 207.96 | 205.55 | 1,000.00 | 55.98 | 3,863.59 |
| 9/26/2014 | 1,199.21 | 198.57 | 974.39 | 389.39 | 202.91 | 307.70 | 0.00 | 715.97 | 3,988.14 |
| 11/27/2014 | 1,476.09 | 165.09 | 681.26 | 575.88 | 198.90 | 197.00 | 0.00 | 74.98 | 3,369.20 |
| 12/28/2014 | 1,258.07 | 0.00 | 936.51 | 273.21 | 274.78 | 239.41 | 2,230.25 | 5,438.62 | 10,650.85 |
| 9/27/2015 | 454.78 | 62.09 | 831.44 | 361.45 | 208.13 | 284.92 | 0.00 | 1,328.44 | 3,531.25 |
| 10/28/2015 | 391.09 | 0.00 | 366.36 | 207.19 | 0.00 | 143.40 | 0.00 | 593.18 | 1,701.22 |
| 11/27/2015 | 850.03 | 163.63 | 555.58 | 134.44 | 206.97 | 151.10 | 0.00 | 1,486.84 | 3,548.59 |
| 12/28/2015 | 179.60 | 0.00 | 475.31 | 355.78 | 0.00 | 194.45 | 0.00 | 3,321.93 | 4,527.07 |
| 1/28/2016 | 1,305.37 | 188.15 | 421.91 | 353.12 | 21.40 | 124.95 | 0.00 | 419.95 | 2,834.85 |
| 2/26/2016 | 118.79 | 0.00 | 85.14 | 265.18 | 0.00 | 111.55 | 0.00 | 624.61 | 1,205.27 |
| 3/28/2016 | 546.92 | 43.59 | 577.66 | 313.08 | 0.00 | 104.28 | 0.00 | 620.62 | 2,206.15 |
| 4/27/2016 | 45.26 | 109.39 | 180.45 | 329.55 | 89.33 | 174.25 | 0.00 | 641.31 | 1,569.54 |
| 5/27/2016 | 308.47 | 0.00 | 381.28 | 549.89 | 0.00 | 142.00 | 0.00 | 818.78 | 2,200.42 |
| Total | 9,782.15 | 3,549.50 | 7,640.04 | 5,444.92 | 1,410.38 | 2,598.92 | 3,230.25 | 16,260.02 | 49,916.18 |
| Stmts Provided (Mo) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Average | 698.73 | 253.54 | 545.72 | 388.92 | 100.74 | 185.64 | 230.73 | 1,161.43 | 3,565.44 |
| Analysis Period (Mo) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |


| Extrapolated Amt Spent | $\$ 20,962$ | $\$ 7,606$ | $\$ 16,372$ | $\$ 11,668$ | $\$ 3,022$ | $\$ 5,569$ | $\$ 6,922$ | $\$ 34,843$ | $\$ 106,963$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Husband's Failure to Preserve the Marital Estate <br> > Trial Judge gave us some of this

| Cause of Failure to Preserve | Amount | Marital <br> Balance Sheet <br> Line (Exb. M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underemployment | \$250,000 | 42 |
| H's Excessive Spending | 35,962 | 43 |
| H's Non-Income Producing Real Estate Expenses | 136,470 | 44 |
| Unknown Outgoing Wires | 110,000 | 45 |
| Missing Transfer Balance from Jones Capital | 50,799 | 46 |
| H's Speculative Investments-ABC Energy MS. I. LLC | 150,000 | 23 |
| H's Speculative Investments-California Winery | 50,000 | 37 |
| Total | \$783,231 |  |
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## Using Appraisals Made by the County Tax Assessor

> Nobody uses the county assessor's office value
> Vance is not qualified to talk about real estate improvements

## Marital Balance Sheet






## Using Appraisals Made by the County Tax Assessor

> The court adopted my opinion as to the value of the real estate, which were based on the appraisals made by the respective county tax assessors
> Husband contended that the court erred in adopting these values because Vance "was not qualified in the field of real estate appraisals"
> The appellate court stated: "The testimony of Mr. Vance cited by Husband in his brief does not reveal a lack of trustworthyness in the underlying tax appraisals"
> Appellate Court upheld trial court ruling
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## Marital Balance Sheet

> Trial judge used almost all of the asset values we provided
> Included $\$ 950,000$ of assets in the division that were undisclosed by Husband that we found; including the "Unnamed Condo" being depreciated on 2014 tax return
> We sought more information and values from Husband about many assets; which he did not provide
> Appellate Court stated "To adopt Husband's argument would effectively reward him for his failure to produce the information which was sought by Wife; this court will not countenance such a result."
> Appellate court upheld values on most all of the assets, but remanded for more proof on the Unnamed Condo

Marital Balance Sheet

## Exhibit M



| CASH AND INVESTMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 ATLED Investments | 12/31/15 | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 xx Britney Cove Counce TN |  | H | 175,000 | 33.3\% | 58,333 | $(41,667)$ | 16,667 | 0 | 16,667 | 100.0\% | 16,667 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 14 xxxx Quince \#6 |  | H | 67,500 | 33.3\% | 22,500 | 0 | 22,500 | 0 | 22,500 | 100.0\% | 22,500 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 15 xxxx Quince \#12 |  | H | 52,500 | 33.3\% | 17,500 | 0 | 17,500 | 0 | 17,500 | 100.0\% | 17,500 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 16 Unnamed Condo per 2014 tax return |  | H | 60,000 | 33.3\% | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 100.0\% | 20,000 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 17 xxx Rozelle (Delta Building) |  | H | 725,000 | 33.3\% | 241,667 | $(112,145)$ | 129,522 | 0 | 129,522 | 100.0\% | 129,522 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 18 xxx Rozelle (Lot) |  | H | 25,000 | 33.3\% | 8,333 | 0 | 8,333 | 0 | 8,333 | 100.0\% | 8,333 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| $19 \begin{aligned} & \text { Mortgage on xxxx Kingham (Deed of Trust, Best } \\ & \text { Friend Trustee) }\end{aligned}$ |  | H |  | 50.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 20 Stone Creek Rentals, LLC - First TN 3121 | 3/31/16 | H | 108,579 | 50.0\% | 54,290 | 0 | 54,290 | 0 | 54,290 | 100.0\% | 54,290 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 21 LT Investments Funding (75\%) | 12/31/15 | H | 488,766 | 100.0\% | 488,766 | 0 | 488,766 | 0 | 488,766 | 100.0\% | 488,766 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 22 Miracle xxxxxxx Royalties |  | H | 175,000 | 35.0\% | 61,250 | 0 | 61,250 | 0 | 61,250 | 100.0\% | 61,250 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 23 CGN Energy MS. I. LLC | 7/23/14 | H | 150,000 | 100.0\% | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | 100.0\% | 150,000 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 24 Investec - xxxx Union Avenue |  | H | 50,000 | 100.0\% | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 100.0\% | 50,000 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 25 Regions Bank Checking ...6111 | 5/31/16 | W | 100 | 100.0\% | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 100 |
| 26 BigBank Checking ... 3983 | 4/27/16 | H | 3,514 | 100.0\% | 3,514 | 0 | 3,514 | 0 | 3,514 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 3,514 |
| 27 BigBank Checking ... 8545 | 6/7/16 | H | 3,663 | 100.0\% | 3,663 | 0 | 3,663 | 0 | 3,663 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 3,663 |
| 28 BigBank MM ...9342 | 5/26/16 | H | 777 | 100.0\% | 777 | 0 | 777 | 0 | 777 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 777 |
| 29 BigBank ... 7337 (Custom Coatings) | 5/31/16 | H | 3,357 | 100.0\% | 3,357 | 0 | 3,357 | 0 | 3,357 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 3,357 |
| 30 BigBank Checking.... 5129 | 4/27/16 | H | 450,049 | 100.0\% | 450,049 | 0 | 450,049 | 0 | 450,049 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 450,049 |
| 31 Small Bank MM ... 8874 | 5/15/16 | H | 984 | 100.0\% | 984 | 0 | 984 | 0 | 984 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 984 |
| 32 Northern Security FCU ... 3690 | 1/31/16 | H | 134,335 | 100.0\% | 134,335 | 0 | 134,335 | 0 | 134,335 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 134,335 |
| 33 Regional Bank Checking ... 3239 | 4/30/16 | H | 4,515 | 100.0\% | 4,515 | 0 | 4,515 | 0 | 4,515 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 4,515 |
| 34 Bank of Bartlett | 5/19/16 | H | 2,030 | 100.0\% | 2,030 | 0 | 2,030 | 0 | 2,030 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 2,030 |
| 35 Wirehouse Brokerage ... 6040 | 2/29/16 | H | 2,184,808 | 100.0\% | 2,184,808 | 0 | 2,184,808 | 0 | 2,184,808 | 12.0\% | 262,177 | 88.0\% | 1,922,631 |
| 36 Local Planner - Cetera | 2/29/16 | H | 60,102 | 100.0\% | 60,102 | 0 | 60,102 | 0 | 60,102 | 0.0\% | , | 100.0\% | 60,102 |
| 37 CornerStone Winery | 12/31/15 | H | 50,000 | 100.0\% | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 50,000 | 100.0\% | 50,000 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 38 UBS ...369T1 | 3/31/16 | H | 17,255 | 100.0\% | 17,255 | 0 | 17,255 | 0 | 17,255 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 17,255 |
| 39 IRS Deposit | 5/31/16 | J | 450,000 | 100.0\% | 450,000 | $(450,000)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 100.0\% | 0 |
| 40 LGR Beverage Group |  | H | 25,000 | 100.0\% | 25,000 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| 41 Delta LLC |  | H | 300,000 | 33.33\% | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 100.0\% | 100,000 | 0.0\% | 0 |



## Be Careful What You Ask For

> The trial court found that Wife had a monthly need of approximately $\$ 12,000$ but had earning potential of $\$ 2,500$
> Husband clearly had the ability to earn far more money
> Trial court ruled he had income of $\$ 27 \mathrm{k}$ per month
> The trial court found that Wife would deplete her share of the marital estate unless she was awarded some form of alimony, did not consider whether an award of short term alimony would be appropriate
> In lieu of Husband paying alimony in futuro to Wife, the court ordered Husband to pay Wife the sum of $\$ 200,000$ in one lump-sum payment as alimony in solido

## Be Careful What You Ask For

$>$ Husband appealed the alimony in solido of $\$ 200 \mathrm{k}$ as excessive and Wife appealed the alimony as insufficient
> Appellate court agreed with Wife
> The award of alimony in solido should be increased to \$300k to cover the amount of attorney's fees and costs Wife incurred
> Also remanded so as to determine whether an award of rehabilitative and/or transitional alimony would be appropriate
> If not, the trial court should consider an additional award of long term support, in light of Wife's demonstrated need

## Affirmations from the Lucchesi Decision (in TN)

> An arm’s length "actual transaction" of stock sold between family members can be considered proof of value
> An expert witness and counsel should consider the practical aspect of claiming that siblings would agree to accept $\$ 75,000$ for shares that would have been theoretically worth close to $\$ 500,000$ based upon your calculations
> No Calculation Engagement for a case that is going to trial
> A party that turns down a job offer for $\$ 100,000$ with claims of being able to make more with their "venture capitalism" should actually go about earning that money and not invest in rental properties that produce no rent
NACVA and the CTI's

## Affirmations from the Lucchesi Decision (in TN)

> Using values of real estate from the county tax assessor's appraisal is sufficient and usable at trial if the party in control of the asset offers no written proof of value
> An expert witness is not required to be a certified real estate appraiser if offering proof of the value of real estate from the county tax assessor's appraisal
> It is not unreasonable for an expert witness to use the cost of an asset or investment if the party that bought it refuses to offer proof of its existence
> A party will probably be assessed attorney's fees and expert witness costs if he fails to disclose \$950,000 of marital assets the expert ultimately uncovers

## Thank You!

Robert Vance, CPA, ABV, CFF, CVA, CFP Forensic \& Valuation Services, PLC 901-507-9173<br>www.forensicval.com rvance@forensicval.com


[^0]:    1) H is added as a compensated officer to Sch E
    2) F ownership percentage drops from $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ with no $10 \%$ owner identified
    3) H is identified as the $10 \%$ owner
